

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/997,588	09/997,588 11/29/2001		Chen Xing Su	10209.353	6233
21999	7590	09/06/2006		EXAMINER	
KIRTON A			LEITH, PATRICIA A		
SUITE 1800		avii LE,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111				1655	
				DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Commence	09/997,588	SU ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Patricia Leith	1655						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence	address					
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS CON FR 1.136(a). In no event, howeven, n. eriod will apply and will expire SII statute, cause the application to b	MMUNICATION. er, may a reply be timely filed X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)						
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	28 August 2006							
	· <u> </u>								
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims	ior in parto quayro, ro	33 3.3. 71, 133 3.3. 213.						
·		annliaation							
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 5-28</u> is/are pending in the application.								
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-23,27 and 28</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		4							
	Claim(s) <u>1, 5-12 and 24-26</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or alastian requirem	ont.						
		nd/or election requirem	ent.						
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) dobject	cted to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the	drawing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the a	ttached Office Action or form I	PTO-152.					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been receiv	ed.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	ments have been receiv	ed in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents hav	e been received in this Nation	al Stage					
	application from the International Bo	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ı)).						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified cop	ies not received.						
Attachmen	at(s)								
1) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 In	terview Summary (PTO-413)						
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		aper No(s)/Mail Dateotice of Informal Patent Application						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	· <u></u>	otice of informal Patent Application ther:						
		· -							

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/28/06 has been entered.

Claims 1 and 5-28 are pending in the application.

Claims 13-23 and 27-28 were withdrawn on the merits as they are directed toward a non-elected invention.

Claims 1, 5-12 and 24-26 were examined on their merits.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a previous Office Action.

Art Unit: 1655

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 1 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chye (8/10/1999) in view of Schechter (1998) and further in view of Gagnon (1997) for the reasons of record.

Claims 1, 4-12 and 24-26 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chye (8/10/1999) in view of Schechter (1998) in view of Gagnon (1997) in view of Brock et al. (1991) and further in view of Nahir (EP 0 555 573 A1) for the reasons of record.

Applicant's arguments were fully considered, but not found persuasive.

Applicant essentially argues that the research presented in US provisional application No. 60/251,417 shows that "TNJ...out performed other noni based juices in antioxidant studies performed in connection with this application" and that The method of making the juice and the various constitutive elements added to the juice before delivery may have a significant effect on lipidperoxidation inhibition" (p. 11, Arguments).

Art Unit: 1655

First, it is noted that the '417 provisional application only shows where Tahitian Noni Juice (TNJ) had any significant effect over any other noni products. The provisional application is not specific as to the claimed steps for making the noni juice. Furthermore, Chye is specifically directed toward Tahitian Noni (see page 3 for example). Therefore, it was known that Tahitian Noni was sold and consumed in the United States prior to invention by Applicant. Applicant argues that the lipidperoxidation was not an intrinsic property of the Tahitian Noni of the prior art, but clearly show in US provisional '417 that it has lipidperoxidation effects. Therefore, the Tahitian Noni of the prior art must have had the same intrinsic effects because it was the same juice as is Instantly claimed.

Applicant again argues that the references do not specifically teach lipidperoxidation and therefore do not make obvious the claimed invention. Again, it is deemed that lipid peroxidation would have been an intrinsic characteristic of the TNJ as consumed in the prior art. Further, it was well known that Morinda citrifolia juice has antioxidant properties which would have caused a lipid peroxidation effect as more keenly pointed out in the previous Office Action.

For these reasons, the claims remain rejected for the reasons of record as well as for the reasons set forth supra.

No Claims are allowed.

This is an RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/997,588. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia Leith whose telephone number is (571) 272-0968. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8:30am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/997,588 Page 6

Art Unit: 1655

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patricia Leith Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655

September 3, 2006